



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI

Patent and Trad mark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** 50176-052 G 09/304,967 05/05/99 LOMONOSSOFF **EXAMINER** HM12/0327 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY SANDALS, W PAPER NUMBER ROBERT L PRICE ART UNIT 600 13TH STREET N W WASHINGTON DC 20005-3096 1636 DATE MAILED: 03/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/304,967

Examiner

WILLIAM SANDALS

Group Art Unit 1636

Lomonosoff et al.

-	m	181	II II	П	11	1111		111	ш
		Н	Ш	Ш		H		Ш	Ш
			Ш	Ш		iii		Ш	
i	Ш	ш	li li	ш	Ш		Ш	Ш	11811

☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 2, 2001								
☐ This action is FINAL .								
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensio 37 CFR 1.136(a).	O respond within the period for response will source the							
Disposition of Claims								
X Claim(s) 1-39	is/are pending in the application.							
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
Claim(s)								
Claim(s)								
☐ Claim(s)								
	are subject to restriction or election requirement							
Application Papers	and despect to restriction or election requirement.							
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review PTO-948							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte								
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on								
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	isapproveddisapproved.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been								
received.								
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)								
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
*Certified copies not received:								
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)								
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892								
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s)							
Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Professoration Research Provides Re								
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 								
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES							

Application/Control Number: 09/304,967

Art Unit: 1636



DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-19, 28 and 29, drawn to viral particles with a foreign peptide in the coat protein, classified in class 424, subclass 204.1.
 - Claims 30, 32, 33, 36, 37 and 39, drawn to a method for producing plant viral II. particles, classified in class 435, subclass 5.
 - Claims 31, 34, 35 and 38, drawn to a method of infecting a plant with a cDNA III. copy of a viral RNA, classified in class 435, subclass 476.
- 2. Inventions of Group I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of Group II which is drawn to a method of producing a plant virus can be practiced with any plant virus.
- The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: 3. Inventions of Group II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Art Unit: 1636

inventions of Group II are drawn to method of producing a plant virus which is biologically, biochemically, physically and patentably distinct from the method of transfecting a plant with a cDNA encoding a viral RNA of Group III.

- 4. Inventions of Groups I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the different invention of Group I is drawn to a plant virus which is biologically, biochemically, physically and patentably distinct from the method of transfecting a plant with a cDNA encoding a viral RNA of Group III.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The antigenic determinants which are claimed in claims 20-27 are each distinct antigenic determinants which will produce distinct and different antibodies.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-19, 28 and 29 are generic.



Application/Control Number: 09/304,967

Art Unit: 1636

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Peter Carroll, Esq. on February 22, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/304,967

Art Unit: 1636

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

9. Certain papers related to this application are *welcomed* to be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. The FAX numbers are (703) 308-4242 and 305-3014. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative, and the FAX receipt from your FAX machine is proof of delivery. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Dr. William Sandals whose telephone number is (703) 305-1982. The examiner normally can be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Schwartz can be reached at (703) 308-1133.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Zeta Adams, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3291.

William Sandals, Ph.D. Examiner February 22, 2001

FELLY WESELVEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5